SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE 25 SEPTEMBER 2015

INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN 2015 / 2016: PROGRESS REPORT

RECOMMENDATIONS:

That Corporate Governance Committee notes:

• Progress against the delivery of the 2015 / 2016 Audit Plan

Report Author: Steve Crabtree

Position: Shared Head of Internal Audit (for Peterborough UA / Cambridge City / South Cambridgeshire Councils)

Contact: Peterborough Office: 01733 384557

Cambridge Office: 01223 458181

South Cambridgeshire Office: 01954 713445

DELIVERY OF THE INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to bring the Corporate Governance Committee up to date with progress made against the delivery of the 2015 / 2016 Internal Audit Plan. This report aims to:
 - Provide a high level of assurance, or otherwise, on internal controls operated across the Council that have been subject to audit;
 - Advise the Committee of significant issues where controls need to improve to effectively manage risks;
 - Advise of any planned changes to reviews, slippage or deletions to that originally agreed on 27 March 2015; and
 - Track progress on the delivery of agreed actions which will be reported as part of the annual reporting process.
- 1.2 The information included in this progress report will feed into, and inform our overall opinion in the Annual Head of Internal Audit Report issued at the year-end. This opinion will in turn be used to inform the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) included in the Statement of Accounts and signed by the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council. The report is for the Committee to consider under its Terms of Reference:
 - To monitor the activities of the Internal Audit service provider and measure performance against the plan; and
 - To consider a quarterly report detailing audit coverage and the extent to which any major problems were highlighted.
- A number of the activities set out within the agreed Audit Plan are to support the works of External Audit to avoid the risk of duplication of audit work; and improve the effectiveness, efficiency and economy of both audit teams. The scope for a number of new audit areas have been agreed with senior management and a series of audits have commenced, findings and conclusions of which will be reported at the next meeting. The following analysis details progress up to, and including 14 August 2015.
- 1.4 In addition to providing assurance on the current controls, while we have been able to confirm that the majority of systems comply with expected controls, we have also identified a number of areas where efficiencies could be made to the system. We have incorporated these into our reports for management consideration.

2. AUDIT ACTIVITIES 2014 / 2015

2.1 The status for audit work undertaken against the current plan is as follows:

CORE SYSTEMS ASSURANCE WORK

AUDIT ACTIVITY	COMMENTARY							
	Previous	Assura	ance Rating /	No. of Reco	ommendation	Commentary		
	Review		Critical	High	Medium	Low		
Accounts Receivable (Trade Waste)	Not applicable	DRAFT REPORT ISSUED Awaiting confirmation / agreement from officers						
Responsive Repairs	RSM Tenon review	NO	0	5	3	0	The review focussed on the performance of the housing repairs Partnering Contract with Mears and the robustness of the monitoring arrangements.	
							At the time of the audit it was identified that the contract monitoring arrangements were ineffective. Poor performance issues identified were not being escalated for action in line with the contract and the quality and accuracy of the data provided to calculate performance was inconsistent.	

3. **AUDIT ACTIVITIES 2015 / 2016**

2.1 The status for audit work undertaken against the current plan is as follows:

CORE SYSTEMS ASSURANCE WORK

AUDIT ACTIVITY	COMMENTARY									
	Previous	Assura	Assurance Rating / No. of Recommendations Commentary							
	Review	Assurance	Critical	High	Medium	Low				
Housing Benefits	March 2015	Audit schedu	led for Quar	ter 3.						
	FULL	Focus will be	Focus will be on the key controls and to follow up any previous recommendations.							
Accounts Payable (Creditors)	March 2015	Audit scheduled for Quarter 3.								
	SIGNIFICANT	Focus will be on the key controls and to follow up any previous recommendations.								
Accounts Receivable	April 2015	Audit scheduled for Quarter 4.								
(Debtors)	SIGNIFICANT	Focus will be on the key controls and to follow up any previous recommendations.								
Housing Rents	February 2015	Audit scheduled for Quarter 3.								
	SIGNIFICANT	Focus will be	Focus will be on the key controls and to follow up any previous recommendations.							

GOVERNANCE AND ASSURANCE WORK

AUDIT ACTIVITY	COMMENTARY							
Annual Governance	COMPLETED							
Statement	The Annual Governance Statement was approved at Corporate Governance Committee in September 2015.							
	No material issues were identified for attention of management / members within the Statement.							
	Internal Audit has reviewed the methodology used to collect, collate and interpret the information and have identified no gaps.							
Annual Audit Opinion	COMPLETED							
	The Annual Audit Opinion was submitted to Corporate Governance Committee in June 2015							
National Fraud Initiative	ON GOING							
Corporate Fraud	Audit scheduled for Quarter 4.							
Arrangements	Focus will be to review the arrangements put in place following the transfer of staff to the Single Fraud Investigation Service within the Department for Works and Pensions.							
Risk Management	PLANNING STAGE							
	Audit is scheduled to start in September 2015.							
Project Management	FIELDWORK IN PROGRESS							

CORPORATE CROSS CUTTING AUDITS

AUDIT ACTIVITY	COMMENTARY							
	Previous	Assura	nce Rating ,	No. of Reco	mmendation	s	Commentary	
	Review	Assurance	Critical	High	Medium	Low		
Human Resources / Staffing	Not applicable (different areas looked at each year)	Review has	DRAFT REPORT ISSUED. Review has been in relation to compliance against Recruitment and Selection processes and awaiting agreement / confirmation from officers.					
Service Preparations for Growth	New audit area	Audit scheduled for quarter 4.						
Corporate Governance	Not applicable (different areas looked at each year)	PLANNING STAGE Focus will be to review and evaluate the appropriateness of governance arrangements in place for Gifts and Hospitality; the Bribery Act and Ethics and Culture.						
Reorganisation / Service Delivery	New audit area	Audit schedu	led for quart	er 4.				

DEPARTMENTAL SPECIFIC

AUDIT ACTIVITY	COMMENTARY									
	Previous	No	. of Recom		Commentary					
	Review	Assurance	Critical	High	Medium	Low				
Allocations / Voids	February 2014 SIGNIFICANT	Scheduled for	quarter 3							
HRA Business Plan	New audit area	Scheduled for	quarter 3							
Insurance	New audit area		PLANNING STAGE Scheduled for start in September 2015							
Information Governance	RSM Tenon	Scheduled for	Scheduled for quarter 3							
Energy Emissions	New audit area	PLANNING STAGE Scheduled for start in September 2015								
Members Allowances	RSM Tenon	SIGNIFICANT	0	0	5	1	Following verification that all payments made are in accordance with the scheme and are correct. Generally, the scheme has been administered well although a number of anomalies were identified.			
Community Right to Bid	New audit area	SIGNIFICANT	0	0	1	3	Community asset applications since April 2014 were reviewed to ensure the correct processes had been adhered to regarding acceptance, refusal, appeals and disposals of assets. Although the process is defined some controls could be tightened and an additional control implemented documenting decisions made to			

							promote transparency and efficient operation of the evaluation of asset nominations.	
RECAP	New audit area		Discussions are being held with the Director with regard to this audit. It may be prudent to substitute a separate audit for this activity.					
Urban Design and Conservation	New audit area	Scheduled for q	uarter 3					

Separate advice has been provided to the Council in relation to:

- Document retention policies;
- Providing details of the internal control environment to assist in Insurance policy requests;
- Investigating new NFI matches;
- The publication of expenses information; and
- The scheme of delegation.

We have one separate request received from Senior Management to review the Whaddon Travellers Site refurbishment project which is ongoing.

4. **DEVELOPMENT OF THE SERVICE**

4.1 Scrutiny and Overview Committee received a report in July 2015 setting out proposals for future development of shared services, principally with Huntingdonshire District Council and Cambridge City Council. While Internal Audit is currently part of a shared management arrangement, this does not preclude it from further development.

ARRIVING AT AN OPINION

Where appropriate, each report we issue during the year is given an overall opinion based on the criteria below. Certain pieces of work do not result in an audit report with an opinion – such as consultancy work, involvement in working groups, review of National Fraud Initiative (NFI) reports and follow-ups. The assessment from each report, along with our consideration of other audit work, is used to formulate the overall Opinion.

	AUDIT ASSURANCE							
Assurance	Definitions							
Full	The system is designed to meet objectives / controls are consistently applied that protect the Authority from foreseeable risks.							
Significant	The system is generally sound but there are some weaknesses of the design of control and / or the inconsistent application of controls. Opportunities exist to mitigate further against potential risks.							
Limited	There are weaknesses in the design of controls and / or consistency of application, which can put the system objectives at risk. Therefore there is a need to introduce additional controls and improve compliance with existing ones to reduce the risk exposure for the Authority.							
No	Controls are weak and / or there is consistent non-compliance, which can result in the failure of the system. Failure to improve controls will expose the Authority to significant risk, which could lead to major financial loss / embarrassment / failure to achieve key objectives.							

This is based upon the number and type of recommendations we make in each report and is for any control weaknesses that jeopardises the complete operation of the service. The prioritisation is established as follows:

RECOMMENDATIONS MADE TO IMPROVE ASSURANCE LEVELS							
Status	Definitions	Implementation					
Critical	Extreme control weakness that jeopardises the complete operation of the service.	Immediately					
High	Fundamental control weakness which significantly increases the risk / scope for error, fraud, or loss of efficiency.	As a matter of priority					
Medium	Significant control weakness which reduces the effectiveness of procedures designed to protect assets and revenue of the Authority.	At the first opportunity					
Low	Control weakness, which, if corrected, will enhance control procedures that are already relatively robust.	As soon as reasonably practical					